citizenship of a free and united India for which you and we are working, an India which is happy and prosperous, self-governing, queen among the nations of the world. May God give you long life!

This happens to be the last session of this Assembly. I do not know how many of us will be back here to welcome you again and to delight in your company. But such of us as will be will feel doubly glad, and such of us as will not, wish you, now that we take leave of you, long life and prosperity and a series of endeavours in the cause of the country so that you may succeed in all your attempts and bring to the masses of the people the happiness and prosperity which you and we have at our hearts.

DEWAN.—I should like to thank Mr. Bhashyam Aiyangar very much for his very kind welcome to me which, I need not say, I appreciate very highly.

MR. P. SUBBARAMA CHETTY also, in welcoming the Dewan back, spoke of his efforts at London in the sittings of the Third Round Table Conference towards getting a remission of the subsidy and the retrocession of the Civil and Military Station and hoped that they would be fruitful in the near future. He next thanked the Government for (1) confirming Mr. P. Mahadevayya as Chief Justice and Mr. K. Shankaranarayana Rao as a Puisne Judge of the High Court of Mysore and Mr. S. P. Rajagopalachari as Second Member of Council and (2) getting an extension of service to Rajamantrapravina Diwan Bahadur K. Matthan, First Member of Council. He congratulated Mr. N. Madhava Rao on his elevation to the post of Revenue Commissioner and hoped that, during his regime, the grievances of the raiyats would be redressed.

Then, the Kannada translation of the Address was read out by the Kannada Translator to Government.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

MR. NILGIRI G. SANJIVIAH (Minorities).—

Q.—1. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) The names of the members of the committee for admission to the Mechanical Engineering School?

(*b*) How many of them are old and how many are new?

(*c*) Why all non-Brahmin officers have been removed and replaced by Brahmin officers?

(*d*) What are the powers of the committee?

(*e*) (i) Can the committee overrule the orders of the Government regarding admission? (ii) If not, how is it that the committee disallowed all applications of the E.P.S. for higher grade of courses?

(*f*) Whether the committee has placed an age restriction fixing 15 years for lower grade and 18 years for higher grade and if such restrictions are provided for in the rules?

(*g*) Whether these restrictions have not come in the way of admission of non-Brahmin boys?

(*h*) The number of Brahmin and non-Brahmin boys admitted during the last and current years?

(*i*) Whether it is not a fact that the powers of the Superintendent are curtailed by the committee even in admissions after the selections by the committee in vacancies that occur by the non-attendance of the selected students?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, GENERAL DEPARTMENT.—

A.—1. (*a*) The following persons form the present committee:—

1. Mr. M. G. Rangaiya.
2. „ K. Srinivasiengar.
3. „ V. Ganesha Iyer.
4. „ S. Srinivasa Iyer.
5. „ C. M. Cariapa.
6. „ M. K. Govinda Pillai.
7. „ Ramachandra Rao Sindhe.
8. „ G. A. Kalami.

(*b*) Four of them were on the old committee and four are new on the present committee.

(*c*) This is not a correct statement as can be seen from the answer to (*a*).

(*d*) The powers of the committee are:—

(1) Selection of candidates for admission to the 1st year class.
(2) Award of scholarships and subsistence allowances.
(3) Selection of examiners for the Technical Board Examinations.
(4) Scrutiny of results of the Technical Board Examinations.
(5) Suggestions for the modification of courses of study.
(6) Suggestions for the revision of establishment.
(7) Suggestions for increasing the recurring expenditure of the workshop.
(8) Consideration of any other questions referred to it.

(*e*) (i) It cannot.

(ii) This is not correctly stated.

(*f*) According to the notification calling for applications for admission to the school, only those between the ages of 15 and 18 should apply. This is as per standing rule.

(*g*) No.

(*h*)—

	1932-33.	1933-34.
Brahmins ...	29	43
Non-Brahmins...	77	62

(*i*) No.

Supplementary Questions and Answers.

Mr. Nilgiri G. Sanjiviah.—

With reference to (*b*), who are the four new members on the present committee and in whose places were they appointed?

Director of Public Instruction.—

Messrs. M. G. Rangaiya, K. Srinivasiengar, Ganesa Iyer and G. A. Kalami are new and were appointed in the places of Messrs. Bhore, Appadorai Mudaliar, Ramaswamy and Dr. Chinnappa.

Mr. Nilgiri G. Sanjiviah.—

Who is the Chairman of the present committee?

Director of Public Instruction.—

Mr. M. G. Rangaiya.

Mr. Nilgiri G. Sanjiviah.—

What is the method of appointing the Chairman?

Director of Public Instruction.—

Whoever is considered fit among the members is selected as Chairman.

Mr. Nilgiri G. Sanjiviah.—

Is it from among the official members or *ex-officio* members?

Director of Public Instruction.—

As Government may consider best.

Mr. Nilgiri G. Sanjiviah.—

Are any recommendations submitted to Government in regard to the appointment of a particular member as Chairman ?

Second Member of Council.—

No. He who is considered fit by Government is appointed as Chairman.

Mr. Nilgiri G. Sanjiviah.—

Is it not desirable to appoint one who has long experience, and if so, was it not desirable to have appointed Mr. Cariapa as Chairman because he has been on the committee for a long time ?

Second Member of Council.—

All those things have been considered and he who was thought fit has been appointed.

Mr. Nilgiri G. Sanjiviah.—

Is there no resolution of the committee fixing an age limit of fifteen years for admission of boys to the lower grade and eighteen years for admission to the higher grade ?

Director of Public Instruction.—

No. The committee's report which has been received does not say so.

Mr. Nilgiri G. Sanjiviah.—

Is it not on the ground of the age limit fixed that many non-Brahmin applicants have been refused seats?

Director of Public Instruction.—

Not to my knowledge.

Mr. Nilgiri G. Sanjiviah.—

On enquiry if you find that what I say is correct, will you admit those non-Brahmin applicants?

Director of Public Instruction.—

That is a hypothetical question.

Mr. Nilgiri G. Sanjiviah.—

Do not the figures of admission furnished in answer to (*h*) show that very few of the non-Brahmin applicants have been given admission ?

Director of Public Instruction.—

For the lower grade, only three out of the eight applicants were qualified; for the higher grade, not many non-Brahmins applied and those that applied had not got the required qualification.

Mr. Nilgiri G. Sanjiviah.—

What is the qualification prescribed ?

Director of Public Instruction.—

S.S.L.C. pass certificate.

Mr. Nilgiri G. Sanjiviah.—

Why are unqualified candidates allowed to apply at all? Why should application forms be supplied to them and a fee of Re. 1 levied ?

Director of Public Instruction.—

Scrutiny about qualification can only be done after the receipt of applications.

Mr. Nilgiri G. Sanjiviah.—

Were not the previous committee giving the Superintendent discretion to admit pupils in vacancies occurring by the selected candidates not turning up ?

Director of Public Instruction.—

That was being done formerly when the number of vacancies that so occurred were not many. But this year; the number of vacancies being very large, one person was not given that discretion. Of course, the committee has discretion to delegate some of its powers to the Superintendent.

Mr. B. S. Puttaswamy.—

Is there any rule to the effect that Brahmins and non-Brahmins should be admitted in a particular proportion ?

Director of Public Instruction.—

No.

Mr. B. S. Puttaswamy.—

Then, what is the practice obtaining in the matter of admissions ?

Director of Public Instruction.—

The practice is that non-Brahmins are given a larger share. There is no proportion fixed.

Mr. B. S. Puttaswamy.—

Was not this committee constituted because non-Brahmins were not getting seats on a just and equitable basis ?

Director of Public Instruction.—

I do not think so. This is a managing committee, and not merely a selection committee. It was constituted long before the selection committees that you are probably thinking of.

Mr. B. S. Puttaswamy.—

With reference to answer to (*h*), what is the reason for the increase in 1933-34 in the number of admissions of Brahmin boys and is it just to give increased admissions to boys of only that community ?

Director of Public Instruction.—

There is no question of justice. Because more non-Brahmins did not come forward for particular groups, more Brahmins were selected.

Mr. B. S. Puttaswamy.—

Then, what is the number of applications received in 1932-33 ?

Director of Public Instruction.—

Notice.

Mr. B. S. Puttaswamy.—

Have any applications from non-Brahmins been rejected at all, and if so, for what reason ?

Director of Public Instruction.—

Five applications out of eight were rejected for higher mechanical as the concerned applicants had not passed the S.S.L.C. Examination.

Mr. B. S. Puttaswamy.—

Did they apply, then, without possessing the prescribed qualification?

Director of Public Instruction.—

Probably. And they applied for all the sections, not for any one section in particular.

Mr. B. S. Puttaswamy.—

Are the Government sure that all the passed candidates from among the non-Brahmin applicants have been given admission?

Director of Public Instruction.—

As I understand now.

Mr. H. C. Dasappa.—

To obviate all the trouble, may not a percentage be fixed for admissions of boys of either community?

Dewan.—

We will consider that.

Capt. Y. V. Ayya.—

Are the Government sure that qualified non-Brahmin applicants have not been denied admission?

Director of Public Instruction.—

The better qualified of the applicants have been admitted.

Capt. Y. V. Ayya.—

So long as a minimum qualification is prescribed for admission, candidates possessing that qualification must be admitted even though there are others with higher qualifications. Is it not so?

Director of Public Instruction.—

You cannot definitely say so.

Mr. J. Mahomed Imam.—

Was not a resolution passed some time ago to the effect that the number of seats in this school must be increased?

Second Member of Council.—

Yes, but Government do not see any necessity for it at present.

Mr. D. S. Mallappa.—

Do not Government consider that the non-official representation on the committee is inadequate and if so, will they consider the question of increasing their representation?

Second Member of Council.—

We will consider it.

Mr. H. K. Madaiya.—

Are the Government aware that major communities include Lingayets and Vokkaligars and if so, will representatives from those communities also be appointed to the committee?

Dewan.—

We cannot possibly give representation to all communities on the committee.

MR. NILGIRI G. SANJIVIAH (Minorities).—

Q.—2. Will the Government be pleased to state:—.

(*a*) The names and number of toddy and arrack contractors in the State who have been guilty of adulteration during the last three years?

(*b*) The nature of departmental or other action taken in each case to punish the offenders for spoiling the health of the drinking public by such adulteration?

(*c*) The number of criminal cases launched against them for adulteration and the result in each case?

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT.—

A.—2. (*a*) Two joint toddy contractors, Messrs. Bhashyakarlu Naidu and V. R. Chick Chennanjappa Setty, Toddy Licensees of Bowringpet Taluk including Kolar Gold Field Area, for 1932-33 to 1934-35.

(*b*) The license was cancelled under Rule 38 (2) of the General Conditions of Excise Licenses.

(*c*) No criminal prosecutions were launched.